FLORIDA STATE UNIV TALLAMASSEE DEPT OF STATISTICS F/G 12/1 ASSOCIATION OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES AND SLEPIAN'S INEQUALITY--ETC(U) APR 62 K JOGDEO, M D PERLMAN, L D PITT F 49620-82-K-0007 FSU-STATISTICS-M620 UNCLASSIFIED END to 1 DATE & 11 82 D1 /

AD-A120 395

3

4D A120395

Association of Normal Random Variables and Slepian's Inequality

Abbreviated Title Association of Normal Variables

by

Kumar Jogdeo University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Department of Mathematics Urbana, Illinois 61801

> Michael D. Perlman University of Washington Department of Statistics Seattle, Washington 98195

Loren D. Pitt
University of Virginia
Department of Mathematics
Charlottesville, Virginia 22903

April, 1982 FSU Statistics Report No. M620 AFOSR Report No. 82-144 STIC ELECTE OCT 1 8 1982

Department of Statistics The Florida State University Tallahassee, Florida 32306

- AMS 1970 subject classifications: Primary 62H05, Secondary 62N05.

Key Words and Phrases: normal variables, association, Slepian's inequality.

Research supported by Air Force Office of Scientific Research, U.S.A.F., under Contract No. F49620-82-K-0007.

82 10 18 046

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public releases
Distribution Unlimited

TIE FILE COP

I. Introduction

We give a simple proof of the result in Pitt (1981) that positively correlated normal random variables are associated. The proof is an adaptation of the original proof of Slepian's inequality in Slepian (1962), and extends to the case of elliptically contoured distributions.

II. Normal Random Vectors

Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a mean zero n-dimensional normal random vector with n×n covariance matrix $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$. By a smooth function we will mean a C^2 function h(x) which together with its first and second order derivatives satisfy a $O(|x|^N)$ growth condition at ∞ , for some finite N.

We set

$$\mathcal{H}(\Sigma) = \mathsf{Eh}(X),$$

and we are interested in the manner that $H(\Sigma)$ varies with Σ . Our main result is

Proposition 1: Let r be another covariance matrix with $\gamma_{ii} = \sigma_{ii}$ and $\gamma_{ij} \leq \sigma_{ij}$ for all i and j. If h is a smooth function on R^n and if

(1)
$$\frac{\partial^2 h(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \ge 0$$
 for all i and j with $\gamma_{ij} < \sigma_{ij}$,

then

(2) $H(\Gamma) \leq H(\Sigma)$.

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC) NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DTIC

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for public release IAW AFR 193-12. Distribution is unlimited.

MATTHEW J. KERPER

Chief, Technical Information Division



Availability Codes

Avail and/or

Dist Special

<u>Proof</u>: By standard approximation arguments it suffices to show

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial H(\Sigma)} \geq 0$$

whenever Σ is nonsingular and $\partial^2 h/\partial x_i \partial x_j \geq 0$. Let $\phi(x) = \phi_{\Sigma}(x)$ be the mean zero normal density on R^n with covariance matrix Σ . Then

(3)
$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i^2} , \qquad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} , \qquad i \neq j.$$

See e.g. Plackett (1954). Using (3) and our assumptions on h which justify two integrations by parts we have

(4)
$$\frac{\partial H(\Sigma)}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 h(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \phi(x) dx$$
$$> 0,$$

which completes the proof.

By varying h, Γ and Σ we obtain other results.

Corollary 1. Let $h(x_1,...,x_n) = f(x_1,...,x_k)g(x_{k+1},...,x_n)$ where f and g are bounded measurable increasing functions. Suppose also that

$$Y_{ij} = \sigma_{ii}$$
 for all i,
 $Y_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}$ if $1 \le i$, $j \le k$ or $k < i$, $j \le n$,
 $Y_{ij} \le \sigma_{ij}$ if $1 \le i \le k < j \le n$.

Then

$$H(\Gamma) \leq H(\Sigma)$$
.

In particular, if $\sigma_{ij} \ge 0$ for $1 \le i \le k < j \le n$.

$$Ef(x_1,...,x_k)Eg(x_{k+1},...,x_n) \le Ef(x_1,...,x_k)g(x_{k+1},...,x_n).$$

Proof: If f and g are smooth then h is smooth and

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} \ge 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le k < j \le n.$$

In this case the result follows from (2). The general case follows by approximations as in Pitt (1981).

To state Slepian's inequality, we write $P_{\Sigma}(A)$ for the probability of the event that X e A \subseteq Rⁿ.

Corollary 2. (Slepian) If $\gamma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}$ and $\gamma_{ij} \leq \sigma_{ij}$ for all i and j then for each λ

$$\mathsf{P}_{\Gamma}\{\max \ \mathsf{X}_{\mathbf{i}} \leq \lambda\} \leq \mathsf{P}_{\Sigma}\{\max \ \mathsf{X}_{\mathbf{i}} \leq \lambda\}\,.$$

Proof: Again by standard arguments it suffices to show that $H(\Gamma) \leq H(\Sigma)$ for each product $h(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} f_j(x_j)$ of bounded non-negative smooth decreasing function $f_j(x_j)$. For $i \neq j$, each such product satisfies $\frac{2}{h} \frac{1}{2} x_j \geq 0$, and the result follows from Proposition 1.

Remark: Several variants are possible. In particular, changing the sign of x_1, \ldots, x_k in Corollary 1 gives the result of Jogdeo and Proschan (1981): If $\sigma_{ij} \leq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k < j < n$, and if f and g are increasing then

$$Ef(x_1,...,x_k)g(x_{k+1},...,x_n) \leq Ef(x_1,...,x_k)Eg(x_{k+1},...,x_n).$$

III. Elliptically Contoured Distributions

The previous results extend to elliptically contoured distributions. The extension of Slepian's inequality to this case was given in Das Gupta, Eaton, Olkin, Perlman, Savage and Sobel (1972).

Let $\langle x,y \rangle$ denote the Euclidean inner product on R^n and let Σ be a non-singular positive definite matrix. A probability density on R^n of the form

$$p_{\Sigma}(x) = |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} p(\langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle)$$

is called elliptically contoured. Here $p(\lambda) \geq 0$ is defined on $[0,\infty)$ and is assumed to satisfy $\int_0^\infty \lambda^{n-1} p(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty$.

We write

$$H(\Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma}(x) dx$$

and with this notation we will show that Proposition 1 remains valid.

It will suffice to establish (2) under the technical condition that $p(\lambda)$ is a C^2 function with compact support, and under this hypothesis we will show that:

(5) If
$$\partial^2 h/\partial x_i \partial x_j \ge 0$$
, then $\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} H(\Sigma) \ge 0$.

The proof is similar to the earlier one, but requires a substitute for the equations (3). This is supplied by Proposition 2.

For $\lambda \geq 0$ we set

$$F(\lambda) = \int_{\Omega}^{\lambda} p(\xi) d\xi .$$

Let

$$F_{\infty} = \int_0^{\infty} p(\xi) d\xi,$$

and

$$G_{\Sigma}(x) = (2|\Sigma|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \{F_{\infty} - F(\langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle)\}.$$

Proposition 2. If h(x) is smooth and $p(\lambda)$ is C^2 with compact support, then

(6)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 h(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} G_{\Sigma}(x) dx.$$

Remarks: Since $G_{\Sigma} \geq 0$ this proves (5). Also, in the case that $p_{\Sigma} = \phi_{\Sigma}$ is a normal density one easily checks that $G_{\Sigma} = \phi_{\Sigma}$, so (6) is a generalization of (3).

Proof: Let $\Sigma^{-1} = (\sigma^{ij})$. We will use the matrix identities

(7)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} |\Sigma|^{-3} = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ij} |\Sigma|^{-3} \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} |\Sigma|^{-3} = -\sigma^{ij} |\Sigma|^{-3} \frac{1}{2}, \quad i \neq j$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle = -\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma^{ik} x_{k}\right)^{2},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle = -2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma^{ik} x_{k}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma^{jk} x_{k}\right), \quad i \neq j,$$

without further comment.

Calculating, we now have

$$\frac{\partial p_{\Sigma}}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} = -\sigma^{ij} p_{\Sigma} - 2|\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} p'(\langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma^{ik} x_{k}\right) \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sigma^{j\ell} x_{\ell}\right)$$
$$= -\sigma^{ij} p_{\Sigma} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma^{ik} x_{k}\right) \frac{\partial p_{\Sigma}}{\partial x_{i}}.$$

Our assumptions on p justify integration by parts and we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(x) p_{\Sigma}(x) dx &= -\sigma^{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(x) p_{\Sigma}(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma^{ik} x_{k} \right) h(x) \right] p_{\Sigma}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma^{ik} x_{k} \right) \frac{\partial h(x)}{\partial x_{j}} p_{\Sigma}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\partial h(x)}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} F(\langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial^{2} h(x)}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{j}} G_{\Sigma}(x) dx. \end{split}$$

The above calculations allow one extension which is perhaps worthwhile making. Using (7) we can easily verify that

(8)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ii}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma}(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 h(x)}{\partial x_i^2} G_{\Sigma}(x) dx.$$

Combining (6) and (8) it is elementary to prove

Proposition 3. Let Γ and Σ be positive definite matrices and set $A = \Sigma - \Gamma = (a_{ij})$. Let $\Sigma_t = \Gamma + tA$ and let h(x) be a smooth function satisfying

(9)
$$Ah(x) \equiv \int_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{i,j} \frac{\partial^{2}h(x)}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}} \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma_{t}}(x) dx ,$$

is an increasing function of t, $0 \le t \le 1$.

<u>Proof</u>: Assuming, as before, that $p(\lambda)$ is a C^2 function with compact support, then (6) and (8) give

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma_t}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Ah(x) G_{\Sigma_t}(x) dx.$$

By (9) this is non-negative.

References

- Das Gupta, S., Eaton, M.L., Olkin, I., Perlman, M., Savage, L.J. and Sobel, M. (1972). Inequalities on the probability content of convex regions for elliptically contoured distributions. Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob. 2, 241-264. Univ. of California Press.
- <u>Jogdeo, K. and Proschan, F.</u>, (1981). Negative association of random variables, with applications. Florida State Univ. statistics preprint.
- Pitt, L.D., (1981). Positively correlated normal variables are associated.

 Ann. Prob., to appear.

- <u>Plackett, R.L.</u>, (1954). A reduction formula for normal multivariate integrals. Biometrika. <u>41</u>, 351-360.
- Slepian, D., (1962). The one-sided barrier problem for Gaussian noise. Bell System Tech. J. 41, 463-501.

.UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
Aruan.in. azevoda ize	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
MD-4120	395
4. TITLE (and Subtitio)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
ASSOCIATION OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES AND	TECHNICAL
SLEPIAN'S INEQUALITY	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER FSU #M620
7. AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
Kumar Jogdeo*, Michael D. Perlman**, and *** Loren D. Pitt	F49620-82-K-0007
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics & Statistical Consulting	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Center, Florida State University, Tallahassee FL 32306	PE61102F; 2304/A5
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Directorate of Mathematical & Information Sciences	12. REPORT DATE . 1982
Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report)	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number) Normal variables; association; Slepian's inequality.	
,	·
A simple extension of Slepian's inequality is given which implies the original inequality and the result that positively correlated normal variables are associated	
82	10 18 046

DD 1 JAN 73 1473